SU(4) weak singlet leptoquark in R_K flavor anomaly

Oscar Rosero · Eduardo Rojas December 5th 2022

VII UniAndes Particle Physics School Bogotá, D.C.



Universidad de Nariño TANTVM POSSVMVS QVANTVM SCIMVS





1. Introduction

- 2. Study of the model
- 3. Phenomenological analysis
- 4. Concluding remarks







Introduction





- The standard model (SM) provides a remarkably successful description of nature at the level of elementary particles.
- Most significant experimental hints of physics beyond the SM are the anomalies in *B*-meson decays \rightarrow Lepton flavor universality (LFU) violation.
- These anomalies can be explained with a $(3,1)_{2/3}$ vector leptoquark (LQ).
- Goal of this work: to study a viable model based upon the Pati-Salam unification that does not involve mixing with new vector-like fermions.





Introduction

B-meson decays and flavor anomalies





B-meson decays and flavor anomalies

- New physics (NP) searches from comparisons between observed measurements and the SM predictions.
- Measurable quantities can be predicted accurately predicted in decays of a charged B^+ meson $(u\bar{b})$ into a kaon $K^+(u\bar{s})$ and two charged leptons ℓ^- , ℓ^+ .
- LFU is an accidental symmetry of the SM.



Contributions of the SM and NP to B-meson decays (Source: Nature Phys. 18 (2022) 3, 277-282).





B-meson decays and flavor anomalies

• Branching fractions for two semileptonic decay modes

$$R_K = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-)} \,.$$

• LHCb 2021 measurements: $R_K = 0.846 {+0.044 \atop -0.041}, 3.1$ standard deviations away from SM predictions.



Comparison between R_K measurements (Source: Nature Phys. 18 (2022) 3, 277-282).





Study of the model





The model we study was proposed by Fornal, et.al. (Phys. Rev. D 99, 055025 (2019)), it is based on the gauge local group

 $\mathrm{SU}(4)_L \otimes \mathrm{SU}(4)_R \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)'$

Decomposition into the SM particles

$$\begin{split} \Psi_L &= (4, 1, 2, 0) = (3, 2)_{\frac{1}{6}} \oplus (1, 2)_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Psi_R^u &= (1, 4, 1, \frac{1}{2}) = (3, 1)_{\frac{1}{6}} \oplus (1, 1)_0 \\ \Psi_R^d &= (1, 4, 1, -\frac{1}{2}) = (3, 1)_{-\frac{1}{3}} \oplus (1, 1)_{-1} \end{split}$$

Contain Q_L, L_L, u_R, d_R, e_R and a right-handed neutrino ν_R .

Higgs sector

$$\Sigma_L = (4, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}), \quad \Sigma_R = (1, 4, 1, \frac{1}{2}),$$

 $\Sigma = (\bar{4}, 4, 1, 0)$

The key feature of this model is that $SU(4)_R$ breaks at a much higher energy scale than $SU(4)_L$.



Universidad de Nariño TANTVM POSSVMVS QVANTVM SCIMVS



The SM fermions are combined into fundamental representations of $SU(4)_L$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ u^2 \\ u^3 \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}_{Lf}^{}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} d^1 \\ d^2 \\ d^3 \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}_{Lf}^{}, \quad f = 1, 2, 3 \, .$$

Left-handed fermions form fundamental representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^c \\ d^c \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}_L.$$

The three fermion generations are grouped into the $\{4, 2\}$ representation of the $SU(4)_L \otimes SU(2)_L$ group:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^c & d^c \\ \nu & \ell \end{pmatrix}_f,$$





Following sponteneous symmetry breaking of the $SU(4)_{L/R}$ groups to $SU(3)_c$:

$$\operatorname{SU}(4)_{L/R} \to \operatorname{SU}(3)_{L/R} \otimes \operatorname{U}(1)_{L/R\,31}, \tag{1}$$

six massive gauge bosons decouple from the initial 15-plet of gauge fields and form three charged coloured leptoquarks.

The 15th $SU(4)_{L/R}$ generator contributes to the charge operator. Normalized as $Tr(T^iT^j) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ij}$, this generator is given by

$$T_L^{15} = T_R^{15} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)





The charge operator of the model is given by

$$Q = t^{3} + \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \left(T_{L}^{15} + T_{R}^{15} \right) + Y'}_{\text{SM hypercharge } Y},$$
(3)

In the fundamental representation of SU(4) this operator can be represented by two matrices

$$Q^{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & & \\ & \frac{2}{3} & \\ & & \frac{2}{3} & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^{d} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & & \\ & -\frac{1}{3} & \\ & & -\frac{1}{3} & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)







Considering the adjoint representation of SU(4), to which the leptoquarks belong,

 $4\times \bar{4}=1+15$.

The eigenvalues of the charge operators in this representation are given by

$$Q_{kl}^{[15]} = Q_k^{[4]} + Q_l^{[4]} = +Q_k^{[4]} - Q_l^{[4]}$$
$$Q_{kl}^{[4]u} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Jniversidad de Nariño



From the initial symmetry of the model we infer the following interaction Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \overline{\hat{\Psi}}_L \gamma^\mu \mathrm{D}_\mu \hat{\Psi}_L + \overline{\hat{\Psi}}^u_R \mathrm{i} \gamma^\mu \mathrm{D}_\mu \hat{\Psi}^u_R + \overline{\hat{\Psi}}^d_R \mathrm{i} \gamma^\mu \mathrm{D}_\mu \hat{\Psi}^d_R$$

where the covariant derivative has the form

$${
m D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + {
m i} g_L G^A_{L\mu} T^A_L + {
m i} g_R G^A_{R\mu} T^A_R + {
m i} g_2 W^a_{\mu} t^a + {
m i} g'_1 Y'_{\mu} Y' \,,$$





 $\mathop{\rm SU}(4)$ gauge bosons

Taking the $SU(4)_{L/R}$ terms of the interaction Lagrangian

$$ig_L G^A_{L\mu} T^A_L + ig_R G^A_{R\mu} T^A_R \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbb{G}_{L/R\,\mu} \equiv G^A_{L/R\,\mu} T^A_{L/R} \,. \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbb{G}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} & | & X_{\mu}^{1} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{A=1}^{8} G_{\mu}^{A} T^{A} & | & X_{\mu}^{2} \\ & | & X_{\mu}^{3} \\ ---- & --- & + & --- \\ X_{\mu}^{1*} & X_{\mu}^{2*} & X_{\mu}^{3*} & | & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} G_{\mu}^{15} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{\mu}^{1} \\ X_{\mu}^{2} \\ X_{\mu}^{3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(G_{\mu}^{9} - \mathrm{i} G_{\mu}^{10} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(G_{\mu}^{11} - \mathrm{i} G_{\mu}^{12} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(G_{\mu}^{13} - \mathrm{i} G_{\mu}^{14} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$







.

Leptoquarks mass eigenstates

$$\mathcal{M}_X^2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} g_L^2 \left[v_L^2 + v_{\Sigma}^2 (1+z^2) \right] & -2g_L g_R v_{\Sigma}^2 z \\ -2g_L g_R v_{\Sigma}^2 z & g_R^2 \left[v_R^2 + v_{\Sigma}^2 (1+z^2) \right] \end{pmatrix},$$

Mixing matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_4 & \sin \theta_4 \\ -\sin \theta_4 & \cos \theta_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_L \\ X_R \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

Making SU(4)_R break at a much higher energy scale we assume that the vevs of the scalar fields satisfy $v_R \gg v_L$ and $v_R \gg v_{\Sigma_L}$ hence the mass matrix



Universidad de Nariño TANTVM POSSVMVS QUANTVM SCIMVS



becomes diagonal, $\sin \theta_4 = 0$ and the masses of the leptoquarks become

$$M_{X_1} = \frac{1}{2} g_L \sqrt{v_L^2 + v_{\Sigma}^2 (1 + z^2)}$$
$$M_{X_2} = \frac{1}{2} g_R v_R \,.$$

Left-handed doublets

$$Q_{Li} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ki}^{\dagger} u_k \\ d_i \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \qquad L_{Lj} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{kj} \nu_j \\ \ell_j \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (7)$$

Lagrangiano de interacción

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{g_L}{\sqrt{2}} X_L \Big[x_{Lu}^{ij}(\overline{u}_i \gamma^\mu \nu_j) + x_{Ld}^{ij}(\overline{d}_i \gamma^\mu \ell_j) \Big] + \text{h.c.} , \qquad (8)$$

where $x_{Lu} \equiv V^{\dagger} x_{Ld} U$.



Universidad de Nariño TANTVM POSSVMVS QVANTVM SCIMVS



Phenomenological analysis





Phenomenological analysis

Model-independent analysis





Model-independent analysis

$$\mathcal{L} \supset U_{1\mu} \sum_{i,j=1,2,3} \left[x_L^{ij} \left(\overline{d}_L^i \gamma^\mu e_L^j \right) + \left(V^{\dagger} x_L U \right)_i j \left(\overline{u}_L^i \gamma^\mu \nu_L^j \right) + x_R^{ij} \left(\overline{d}_R^i \gamma^\mu e_R^j \right) \right] + \text{h.c} ,$$

Based on García-Duque, et.al. (arXiv:2209.04753v2). To avoid constraints arising from $\mu - e$ conversions in the nucleous and parity violations we use the "minimal U_1 model" structure by Angelescu, et.al (JHEP 10 (2018) 183)

$$x_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_L^{s\mu} & x_L^{s\tau} \\ 0 & x_L^{b\mu} & x_L^{b\tau} \end{pmatrix}$$

Semileptonic decays of B-meson involve a $b\to s\mu^+\mu^-$ via the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}(b \to s\mu^+\mu^-) = -\frac{\alpha_{\text{em}G_F}}{\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \Big[C_9^{bs\mu\mu} (\bar{s}P_L\gamma_\beta b) \Big(\overline{\mu}\gamma^\beta \mu\Big) + C_{10}^{bs\mu\mu} (\bar{s}P_L\gamma_\beta b) \Big(\overline{\mu}\gamma^\beta \gamma_5 \mu\Big) \Big]$$







Wilson coefficients:

$$C_9^{bs\mu\mu} = -C_{10}^{bs\mu\mu} = -\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2} G_F \alpha_{\rm em} V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \frac{x_L^{s\mu} \left(x_L^{b\mu}\right)^*}{M_{U_1}^2} \,.$$

2021 values found by Altmannshofer & Stangl (Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 10, 952) for $C_9 = -C_{10}$ from all rare decays of the *B*-meson give

$$C_{9\,\mathrm{ex}}^{bs\mu\mu} = - C_{10\,\mathrm{ex}}^{bs\mu\mu} = -0.39 \pm 0.07$$
 .



Universidad de Nariño TANTVM POSSVMVS QVANTVM SCIMVS



Model-independent analysis

 1σ interval for the flavor couplings obtained from a χ^2 analysis for a LQ with $M_{U_1} = 10$ TeV:





Universidad de Nariño



O.D. Rosero, E. Rojas •

Phenomenological analysis

Model-speciffic phenomenology





(

The LQ states $X_{1\mu}$, $X_{2\mu}$ introduce the following modiffications to the Wilson coefficients

$$C_9^{\mu\mu} = -C_{10}^{\mu\mu} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^2 g_L^2 x_{Ld}^{s\mu} x_{Ld}^{b\mu\,*}}{G_F e^2 V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \left[\frac{\cos^2 \theta_4}{M_{X_1}^2} + \frac{\sin^2 \theta_4}{M_{X_2}^2} \right]. \tag{9}$$

With the restriction on the breaking energy of $\mathrm{SU}(4)_R$ we get

$$C_9^{\mu\mu} = -C_{10}^{\mu\mu} = -\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}G_F \alpha_{\rm em} V_{tb} V_{ts}^*} \frac{1}{M_X^2} \left(\frac{g_L}{\sqrt{2}} x_{Ld}^{s\mu}\right) \left(\frac{g_L}{\sqrt{2}} x_{Ld}^{b\mu}\right),\tag{10}$$





Coupling matrix parametrization

From an analysis of $K_L^0 \to e^{\pm} \mu^{\mp}$ searches and $e - \mu$ conversions, Fornal et.al. parametrize the copling matrix as

$$x_{Ld} \approx e^{i\phi} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 & \delta_2 & 1 \\ e^{i\phi_1}\cos\theta & e^{i\phi_2}\sin\theta & \delta_3 \\ -e^{i\phi_2}\sin\theta & e^{i\phi_1}\cos\theta & \delta_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $|\delta_i|\ll 1.$ From constraints for $R_{K^{(*)}}$ anomalies it can be stablished that

 $\cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2) \approx 0.18$.

Furthermore, the coupling constant

 $g_L \approx 1,06 g_s$,

with $g_s \approx 0.96$ being the strong coupling constant at 10 TeV.



Universidad de Nariño



Allowed region

Allowed region at $95\,\%{ m CL}$ for a LQ with $M_X = 10{ m TeV}$







Concluding remarks





Concluding remarks

- Leptoquarks arising from a SU(4) symmetry offer a possible explanation for LFU violation. The new vertex admits direct transitions between quarks and leptons with different couplings.
- For the R_K anomaly, involving a $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ transition we determined $x_L^{s\mu}$, $x_L^{b\mu}$ in the interval [0,23,0,30] y [-0,26,-0,20] for a 10 TeV LQ.
- The parametrization of the coupling matrix in Fornal's model admits a wide range of values. The data from the model-independent analysis are within this range.
- The value range of the model-specific analysis is still quite broad. It is required a more thorough analysis that studies the pertinence of this parametrization.





ΤΗΑΝΚ ΥΟυ





