

Measurement of the B⁺ differential cross section as a function of transverse momentum and multiplicity density in pPb collisions at 8.16 TeV

VII UNIANDES PARTICLE PHYSICS SCHOOL

5-7 December 2022. Universidad de los Andes

Camilo Torres Castaño

Dr. Jhovanny Andres Mejia Guisao Dr. Heriberto Castilla, Dr. Rogelio Reyes Almanza, Dr. Irais Bautista Guzman



UNIVERSIDAD

Table of Contents

• Introduction

- Documentation
- The Large Hadron Collider
- CMS Experiment
- Heavy Ions Collisions and Quark-Gluon Plasma
- Data and Monte Carlo samples, Trigger and Online selection
- Transverse Momentum Analysis
 - Data and MC Mass Fit
 - Efficiencies
 - Cross Section
- Multiplicity Analysis
 - Definition of Charged-Particle Multiplicity
 - Cross Section
 - CS ratios scaled by multiplicity density
- Conclusions



Introduction



VII Uniandes Particle Physics School. December 05, 2022. 3

Documentation

CADI line:

UNIVERSIDAD

DE ANTIOQUIA

CMS

https://cms.cern.ch/iCMS/analysisadmin/cadilines?id=2567&ancode=HIN-22-001&tp=an&line=HIN-22-001

CMS AN-2021/178

Available on the CMS information server

CMS AN-21-178

CMS Draft Analysis Note

The content of this note is intended for CMS internal use and distribution only

Measurement of the differential cross section of B^+ as a function of transverse momentum and multiplicity in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8.16$ TeV.

Camilo Jose Torres Castano¹, Gabriel Ayala², Horacio Crotte², Rogelio Reyes Almanza², Irais Baatista Guzman³, Iran He</mark>redia De La Cruz², Heriberto Castilla², and Jhovanny Andres Mejia Guisao¹

¹ Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

² Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPIN, Mexico City, Mexico ³ Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico



CMS PAPER HIN-22-001

DRAFT CMS Paper

The content of this note is intended for CMS internal use and distribution only

Measurement of the B^+ differential cross section as a function of transverse momentum and multiplicity density in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8.16$ TeV

This box is only	visible in draft mode. Please make sure the values below make sense.
PDFAuthor:	Camilo Torres, Logelio Reyes, Irais Bautista, Jhovanny Mejia, Gabriel Ayala,
PDFTitle:	Differential multiplicity studies on B+ Production in pPb collisions at 8.16
PDFSubject-	TeV CMS
PDFKeywords:	CMS, your topics

Please also verify that the abstract does not use any user defined symbols

VII Uniandes Particle Physics School. December 05, 2022.

4

Note: This analysis is part of the preliminary results presented to publish an article with The CMS Collaboration. For the current date the analysis is being reviewed by the Analysis Review Committe (ARC) and move to the approval and publication with the usual channels of CERN.



The Large Hadron Collider



The world's largest and most powerful particle accelerator.



UNIVERSIDAD

CMS Experiment





VII Uniandes Particle Physics School. December 05, 2022.

7

Heavy Ions Collisions and Quark-Gluon Plasma

- After the Big Bang the universe was filled with a mixture dominated by quarks and by gluons.
- At high temperatures, quarks and gluons were bound only weakly. (Quark-gluon plasma)
- Powerful accelerators make collisions as proton-lead or lead-lead.





Motivation



• *c* and *b* quarks may probe the QGP state.

Introduction

• They interact strongly with the medium via elastic collisions and gluon radiation, losing energy.



- Measurement of the production of strange beauty mesons can provide fundamental insights into the relevance of mechanisms of beauty recombination in the quark-gluon plasma.
- A complete understanding of the interactions of heavy quarks in the deconfined medium formed in heavy ion collisions requires a thorough knowledge of their production in proton-nucleus collisions.

Heavy Flavor states are are ideal "hard probes" for studying the properties of the created medium





Data and Monte Carlo

Data and Monte Carlo samples, Trigger and Online selection



VII Uniandes Particle Physics School. December 05, 2022. 11

Decay channel

The decay channels used for this analysis are:





2016 Data Sets and Selection Details

Datasets

/PADoubleMuon/PARun2016A-PromptReco-v1/AOD /PADoubleMuon/PARun2016B-PromptReco-v1/AOD /PADoubleMuon/PARun2016C-PromptReco-v1/AOD /PADoubleMuon/PARun2016D-PromptReco-v1/AOD

J/ψ selection details:

- Prob(vtx) > 0.01 (1%)
- 2.9 < Mass(J/ψ) < 3.3 GeV
- $p_{\tau}(\mu) > 2.0 \text{ GeV}, |\eta(\mu)| < 2.4$
- Soft Muon ID

Data quality:

Cert_285479-285832_HI8TeV_PromptReco_pPb_Collisions16_JSON_NoL1T.txt Cert_285952-286496_HI8TeV_PromptReco_Pbp_Collisions16_JSON_NoL1T.txt



 $L_{\rm int} ({\rm nb}^-$

62.65

111.92

Dimuon Trigger

Trigger:	Table 2.1: Integrated	
HEI_PALIDOUDIeIMuOpen_VI	year	part of the run
Trigger details:	2016	for pPb
Max n < 2.4	2016	for Pbp
$\min nT = 0.0$		Total luminosity

1: Integrated luminosity's.

min pT = 0.0	Total luminosity	174.57
This trigger requires two muon candidates found in the n	nuon detectors at level-1 (I	.1) trigger with
loosest possible selections to maximize the detection efficient	ency. During 2016 pPb run,	this trigger was
operated without any pre-scale.		

Integrated Luminosity:

UNIVERSIDAD

- 8TeV pPb Luminosity: 64.41 /nb
- 8TeV Pbp Luminosity: 115.28 /nb Luminosity: 179.69 /nb (2016) (PdmV2016Analysis)
- The luminosity sections were selected based on the certified list, validated by the different • Detector Performance Groups (DPGs) and Physics Object Groups (POGs).

Official MC Samples

Monte Carlo (MC) samples of pPb (Pbp) collisions are generated in this analysis for efficiency studies, analysis selection, and cross-check of other potential detector effects.

- **PYTHIA 8:** Production and hadronization.
- **EVENTGEN:** Decaying b hadrons.
- **PHOTOS:** The QED final state radiation.
- **GEANT4:** Simulation of the CMS detector.
- **EPOS:** Simulation of collective effects in pp, pA and AA collisions.

Generator	Dataset
EPOS	/ReggeGribovPartonMC_EposLHC_pPb_4080_4080_DataBS/pPb816Summer16DR-MB_80X_
	mcRun2_pA_v4-v2/AODSIM
EPOS	/ReggeGribovPartonMC_EposLHC_PbP_4080_4080_DataBS/pPb816Summer16DR-MB_80X_
	mcRun2_pA_v4-v2/AODSIM
PYTHIA +EVTGEN	/BPlusToJpsiK_pThat5_pPb-Embed_8p16TeV_TuneCUETP8M1_Pythia8_EvtGen/
	pPb816Summer16DR-80X_mcRun2_pA_v4-v2/AODSIM
PYTHIA +EVTGEN	/BPlusToJpsiK_pThat5_Pbp-Embed_8p16TeV_TuneCUETP8M1_Pythia8_EvtGen/
	pPb816Summer16DR-80X_mcRun2_pA_v4-v2/AODSIM
PYTHIA +EVTGEN	/NonPromptPsi1S2S_pPb-EmbEPOS_8p16TeV_Pythia/pPb816Summer16DR-pPbEmb_80X_
	mcRun2_pA_v4-v2/AODSIM
PYTHIA +EVTGEN	/NonPromptPsi1SPsi2S_PbP-EmbEPOS_8p16TeV_Pythia/pPb816Summer16DR-PbPEmb_80X_
	mcRun2_pA_v4-v2/AODSIM



Transverse Momentum Analysis

 $p_T(B^+)$



VII Uniandes Particle Physics School. December 05, 2022. 16

Data Mass Fit

Following <u>HIN-14-004</u>. Meson Production in p+Pb Collisions at 5.02 TeV

(Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 032301)

The B⁺ yields are extracted using unbinned maximum likelihood estimations to the reconstructed $J/\psi K^+$ invariant mass distributions.

Fit function:

- Sum of two Gaussians with common mean and different widths
- $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K + X$ decays modeled with an error function.
- Combinatorial background modeled with an exponential function









Monte Carlo Mass Fits



The widths of the fit function Gaussians of the data are fixed to the values obtained from a fit performed to the $J/\psi K^+$ invariant mass in the pure-signal MC sample.









MC fit of the <u>non prompt Jpsi MC</u> <u>samples</u> to extract the parameters of the error function to fit the $J/\psi / K^+ +$ X background in data with these parameters.



Efficiencies

The efficiency is the number of reconstructed B events after the full selection divided by the number of generated B decays in the fiducial region of the analysis specified by the B kinematic window: 3 < pT(B) < 50 GeV and |y(B)| < 2.4

- → It includes the acceptance and offline selection.
- → It is determined from MC simulations, using 2 samples per channel:
 - The first sample has no cuts (with gen-info only).
 - The second sample has the gen filter cuts: |η(μ)|<2.5, |η(K)|<2.5, pT(μ)>1.5 GeV and pT(K)>0.4 GeV.
- → The efficiency is split into two terms:
 - The "pre-filter efficiency" or "acceptance" measures the efficiency of the gen pre-filter.
 - The "efficiency of reconstruction" measures the event selection efficiency given the pre-filter selection.
 - The "total efficiency" is the product of the two efficiencies above.



Pre-filter Efficiency



CMS,

UNIVERSIDAD



Reconstruction Efficiency

 $\epsilon_2^i = \frac{N(\mathbf{B}^+ \to \mathbf{J}/\psi\mathbf{K}^+, |y_{\mathbf{B}^+}^{\mathrm{reco}}| < 2.4, c < p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{reco}}(\mathbf{B}^+) < d, \text{filter cuts, full selection})}{N(\mathbf{B}^+ \to \mathbf{J}/\psi\mathbf{K}^+, |y_{\mathbf{B}^+}^{\mathrm{gen}}| < 2.4, c < p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{gen}}(\mathbf{B}^+) < d, \text{filter cuts})}$

Reconstruction efficiency reweighted and with Tag and Probe weights.



Total Efficiencies

 $(\epsilon \ = \ \epsilon_1 \times \epsilon_2)$

Total efficiency reweighted and with Tag and Probe weights.

CMS

UNIVERSIDAD







CMS,

UNIVERSIDAD

DE ANTIOQUIA

Total Efficiency weighted by luminosity.

$$\epsilon_T = \frac{\mathcal{L}_1 \epsilon_{T_1} + \mathcal{L}_2 \epsilon_{T_2}}{\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2}$$

Total Efficiency error.

$$\Delta \epsilon_T = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1^2 \, \Delta \epsilon_{T_1}^2 + \mathcal{L}_2^2 \, \Delta \epsilon_{T_2}^2}}{\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2}$$

Where ϵ_T is the total efficiency, $\Delta \epsilon_T$ its respective error, and \pounds the integrated luminosty.

Cross Section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T}\Big|_{|y_{\text{lab}}|<2.4} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta p_T} \frac{N(p_T)_{|y_{\text{lab}}|<2.4}}{(\text{Acc }\epsilon)_{|y_{\text{lab}}|<2.4}} \mathcal{BL}$$

B Meson Production in p+Pb Collisions at 5.02 TeV <u>HIN-14-004</u> (Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 032301)

$BR(B_u \rightarrow J/\psi K)$	(1.026 +/- 0.031)e-3
BR(J/ $\psi \rightarrow \mu$ + μ -)	(5.961 +/- 0.033)e-2

FONLL Heavy Quark Production

UNIVERSIDAD

DE ANTIOQUIA

The pT differential cross sections of B+ were derived by scaling the FONLL predictions for the generic B meson and baryon admixture by the PDG measured fractions, 40.2%. The results are multiplied by the number of nucleons in the Pb nucleus A=208. **(AN2013_322. Section 8.)**





Systematic Uncertainties

Table 24: Systematic uncertainties on $d\sigma/dp_T$ from alternative *Bu* fitting strategies described in the text. The total systematic uncertainty is the sum in quadrature of the individual uncertainties. Statistical uncertainty is shown too.

p_{T}	B^+	Bu	MC	Tracking	Tag and Probe	Total Systematic	Statistical
(GeV)	signal	bkg	size		$ > \rangle$	uncertainty (%)	uncertainty (%)
3-7	4.9	0.60	0.9	2.4	0.04	5.6	11.1
7 - 10	1.1	0.40	0.6	2.4	0.29	2.8	6.0
10 - 15	2.1	1.31	0.6	2.4	1.26	3.7	3.6
15 – 20	1.5	0.34	0.9	2.4	3.98	5.0	3.9
20 - 30	3.3	0.56	1.0	2.4	8.15	9.2	4.2
30 – 50	0.8	0.34	1.6	2.4	14.26	14.6	6.5

Preliminary results.



Summary of Cross Section Measurements

Table 30: Summary table of the pT-differential cross sections of B^+ in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV.

pt	$d\sigma/dp_T$	stat. error	sys. error	$d\sigma/dp_{\rm T}$ (FONLL)	FONLL error
(GeV)	$(\mu b \ GeV^{-1})$	$(\mu b \ GeV^{-1})$			
3-7	1409.36	156.93	78.88	1275.39	+806.48 -677.37
7 - 10	646.01	38.69	17.67	494.46	+289.87 -191.16
10 - 15	201.72	7.23	7.07	167.28	+85.55 -56.31
15 - 20	51.31	2.02	2.56	52.51	+22.57 -15.70
20 - 30	11.24	0.47	1.03	12.83	+4.39 -3.29
30 – 50	1.35	0.09	0.20	1.57	+0.39 -0.32

Preliminary results.



Multiplicity Analysis

Multiplicity Analysis





VII Uniandes Particle Physics School. December 05, 2022. 30

Definition of Charged-Particle Multiplicity

The event-by-event charged-particle multiplicity Ntrk is defined using primary tracks, tracks that satisfy the high-purity criteria and criteria to improve track quality and ensure that the tracks come from the primary vertex.



Figure 7: N_{trk} distribution of the events selected in this analysis, for pPb (left) and Pbp (right) samples.



Data are divided into classes based on $N_{\rm trk}$ The quantity is $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm corrected}$ the corresponding multiplicity corrected in the same kinematic region

- | η | < 2.4
- pT > 0.4

Table 4: Fraction of the full event sample for each multiplicity class. The last two columns show the observed and corrected multiplicities, respectively, of charged particles with |y| < 2.4 and $p_T > 0.4$ GeV/c. Systematic uncertainties are given for the corrected multiplicities, while statistical uncertainties are negligible.

Multiplicity class (N _{trk})	Fraction(%)	$\langle N_{trk} \rangle$	$\langle N_{trk}^{corrected} \rangle$	
$2 \le N_{trk} < 250$	100.0	88	102 ± 2	
$2 \le N_{trk} < 60$	27.5	42	49 ± 1	
$60 \leq N_{trk} < 85$	24.1	72	84 ± 2	
$85 \leq N_{trk} < 110$	20.6	96	112 ± 3	
$110 \le N_{trk} < 250$	27.7	140	163 ± 4	



Multiplicity Analysis





Multiplicity Analysis

Cross section





CS ratios scaled by multiplicity density

$$R_{pPb}^{B^+}|_{N_{ch}}/R_{pPb}^{B^+}|_{2-60} = \frac{1}{N_{coll}|_{N_{ch}}} \frac{\sigma^{B^+}|_{N_{ch}}}{\sigma^{B^+}|_{pp}} / \frac{1}{N_{coll}|_{2-60}} \frac{\sigma^{B^+}|_{2-60}}{\sigma^{B^+}|_{pp}} \approx \frac{1}{\langle N_{ch} \rangle / \langle N_{2-60} \rangle} \frac{\sigma^{B^+}|_{N_{ch}}}{\sigma^{B^+}|_{2-60}},$$





VII Uniandes Particle Physics School. December 05, 2022. 35

Conclusions

- The B+ \rightarrow J/ ψ K+ is used to measure the production cross section and compared to FONLL calculation with good agreement.
- The corresponding cross-sections factor of B+, σB+/σB0+, is found to show a trend to be suppressed in pPb high multiplicity collisions when compared to the small multiplicity bin as a reference.
- A more clear trend could be seen when taking into account normalization with the averaged multiplicity density in analogy as the one taking in the nuclear modification factor.
- These measurements establishes an important baseline to constraint models that describe the flavor dependence of the in-medium parton energy loss.

Next steps: Publication!



Thank you very much for the attention!



back-up







Previously it was done with data. The suggestion was to move to use MC. It has been done.

 $sig \approx Eff/\sqrt{B}$

Eff: Efficiency. **B:** Background yields in mass window.



Pull Study with "Toy Monte Carlo"





Reweighting Process



2D reweighting in pT and y The reweight was made event per event and the process in stopped when both histograms Data and MC reach a KS test greater than 0.5



Tag and Probe

We improve the efficiency by using a data-driven method of Tag and Probe (TnP) and scale factors (SFs), which are derived from the efficiency ratio between the data and the MC in the J/ ψ analysis for each muon (<u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/viewauth/CMS/</u> HIMuonTagProbe). The TnP SFs are applied as an additional weight (after the weight coming from reweighting procedure) to MC RECO candidates in order to correct the efficiency. In this analysis, we use the 2016 pPb TnP header file located at:

https://github.com/CMS-HIN-dilepton/MuonAnalysis-TagAndProbe/blob/80X_HI/macros /tnp_weight_lowPt.h

to determine the SF of each MC muon based on its kinematics (pT and η). Then, we multiply them together:

$$SF_{\mu^+\mu^-} = SF^{\mu^+} \times SF^{\mu^-},$$

and finally we use the SF μ + μ - as a weight to the MC for efficiency correction.





Figure 21: The total efficiency distributions are shown for pPb (left) and Pbp (right) samples in p_T bins. The efficiency distributions are shown before and after applying the reweighting procedure.



Multiplicity Analysis

Multiplicity Corrections

Track reconstruction implies that the detector effect is present, so in order to get the actual number of charged particles a tracking efficiency correction is needed. Then each reconstructed track is weighted by the inverse of the efficiency factor $\epsilon_{trk}(\eta, p_T)$

$$\epsilon_{\mathrm{trk}}(\eta, p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \frac{AE}{1-F}$$



- Detector acceptance A(η, p_T)
- Reconstruction efficiency E(η, p_T): recoToSimTracks/SimTracks
- Fraction of misidentified tracks or the fake rate F(η, p_T): NotRecoToSimTracks/recoTracks

Figure 6: Reconstruction efficiency $E(\eta, p_T)$ (left) and the fraction of misidentified tracks $F(\eta, p_T)$ (right). The values were computed from MC samples.



UNIVERSIDAD